Interview Summary	Application No. <b>09/825,246</b>	Applicant(s) Singh et al.		ıl.
	Examiner  Joyce Tun	g	Art Unit 1637	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Joyce Tung	(3)			
(2) Stephen C. Macevicz	(4)			
Date of Interview Jul 12, 2002	<del></del>			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:				1
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:  112, second paragraph issues were discussed in SN 09/8 overcome the rejections. The differences between the inversent of the capture ligand appears to distinguish the present of the capture ligand appear	al nature of what was 25246, 09/825247, c ention and the prior a	agreed to i	f an agreement proposed new c n et al. were dis	laims scussed. The
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	ecopy of the amendment arate record of the sum MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). ROM THIS INTERVIEV	ents that was abstance of the LA of	ould render the the interview (if AST OFFICE AC o the last Office FILE A STATEM	box is checked).  TION MUST e action has MENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner'	s signature, if re	equired